## Israel Ring Tourney: Studies 2018 Judge: Peter Gyarmati, Budapest, February 2019

A special thanks to the editors of Variantim, for appointing me judge of such an important event, and also to all participants of this tournament.
Most of the 21 studies were inspired pieces. In many cases, the authors undertook a big task showing interesting motifs. I think minor shortcomings can be forgiven: in some studies the harmony of parts of the work was not perfect. I preferred the large-scale works, the original, and the clean, classicalstyle studies.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Prize: Var. 3074 - Vladislav Tarasiuk \& Mario G. Garcia

The introductory play is sharp, with an effective key move. Black is forced to sacrifice the Queen to eliminate the threats of white. After the simplification, the white knights and passed pawns fight with the black rooks. White must avoid many traps. The study is exciting and fun throughout. The end of the study cannot be suspected from the initial position. I like that all variations are food for thought, yet their solution is not too difficult.





V.Tarasiuk M.Garcia







## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Prize: Var. 3075 - Amatzia Avni

The introductory play is very good. The critical position is in the 4th move. There are two ways here: the main line and the logical try. The black knight closes the line of the white bishop on d5 and e4. Discovering the difference is an experience. Both lines need to be appreciated by strategic principles instead of analyzing concrete moves. Classic style, strategic work.






 in comparison with the try, the knight-block must now be on d5 instead of e4, and this makes a difference 9.66 positional draw. black is unable to improve his position, e.g. 9... d8 10.h6 xb6 11.h7 d4 12. 笪c4 draw

## 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Prize: Var. 3072 - Lubos Kekely \& Michal Hlinka

Beautiful, classical positional draw. White is in material disadvantage. He fights in several ways: attacks the black pieces, creates stalemate traps, and threatens mate. There is mutual zugzwang in the main line and in the try. The stalemate pictures are well-known, nevertheless this is an excellent study.





 zugzwangs. Stalemates. Switchbacks.

## $1^{\text {st }}$ Honourable Mention: Var. 3164, Martin Minski

Black guides the play first, trying to reach a stalemate position. The culmination of the study is when white avoids the stalemate with an effective queen sacrifice. I've seen the same motifs before, also from the author. This study is a good balance between material and content.


 씁g4+ 11. 씁g6 wins
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## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Honourable Mention: Var. 3167 Pavel Arestov \& Alexander Zhukov

This work is based on a glamorous queen sacrifice, which black must accept. White creates the mate threat immediately afterwards and wins material. The sidelines are also interesting, but 2.Qh6 + is very complicated.








## $3^{\text {rd }}$ Honourable Mention：Var．3170，Peter Krug

The culmination of the work is the difference between the main line and the try（ $6 . \operatorname{Rg} 7$ ！and $6 . \operatorname{Rh} 8$ ？）．The key to the choice is that，after $\operatorname{Rg} 7$ the rook attacks black pawns on 7th rank．The proving variations are not simple．









## $4^{\text {th }}$ Honourable Mention：Var． 3076 Steffen Slumstrup Nielsen

A fine opposite－colored bishops endgame．The key position is very artistic． $3 . \mathrm{d} 4$ is wrong instead of $3 . a 6$ due the surprising $3 . b 5!$ ！and one black pawn will promote with check on c 1 or on g1．Unfortunately the 2．Kxh5 logical try is not clear，because $5 . . \mathrm{Bc} 2$ is also a draw．
 Black will be in time to conquer both d2 and c3．） 3 ．．．h3 $4 . \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{~h} 25 . \mathrm{h} 7 \mathrm{~h} 1=$ 留
 away from pawn d2．2．．tg7！f4 3．gxf4 h4 4．f5 h3 5．f5 xf5 6．h7］2．Hgg！ ［Logical try：2．．．$x$ xh5 fxg3 3．a6 bxa6 $4 . \mathrm{d} 4$ cxd3 $5 . \mathrm{h} 7$ d2（ also 5．．． C 2 6．h8＝留

 4．axb6（ $4 . \mathrm{d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 45 . \mathrm{d} 6 \mathrm{bxc} 36 . \mathrm{d} 7 \mathrm{c} 27 . \mathrm{d} 8=$ 씁 c1＝씁 + and Black promotes with check and even wins．） 4 ．．．g2 $5 . \mathrm{b} 7 \mathrm{~g} 1=$ 筸 + and Black again promotes with check，winning］3．．．bxa6 4．d4 cxd3［4．．．ebe4 5．h7 g2 6．d5 wins］5．h7 d2


## $1^{\text {st }}$ Commendation：Var． 3071 Pavel Arestov

The theme is mate with self－block．The two minor promotions are great．I feel that the real black counterplay is only 3 ．Qc8．





## $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Commendation：Var． 3117 Michal Hlinka \＆Mario Garcia

A lovely draw study with two main lines．The practical－like play is fine． White avoids several traps．
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$3^{\text {rd }}$ Commendation: Var. 3118 Bizya Buyannemekh \& Mario Garcia
The theme is ideal mate with two self-blocks. An active game develops around the passed pawns. All figures are active. Finally, white forces the king and the knight to a wrong place. Here the bishop has two nice moves. Unfortunately a partial anticipation is V.Bron, $3^{\text {rd }}$ prize, New Statesman, 1968.





 12. f5\# ideal mate with 2 self-blocks

## $4^{\text {th }}$ Commendation: Var. 3119 Daniele Gatti

Positional draw or stalemate with a good try.






## $5^{\text {th }}$ Commendation: Var. 3165 Marcel Dore

Nice knight endgame with simple motifs. The tries are also interesting.

1. ©a6! [thematic try: 1. $\triangle \mathrm{c} 6 \mathrm{~b} 32.0 \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{~b} 23.0 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~b} 1=$ 论] 1...b3 2. $\simeq \mathrm{c} 5+$ 笑xe5
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